## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 COPENH 01285 050803Z

20

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EA-06 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07

L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06

IO-10 SAM-01 SAJ-01 /075 W

----- 022070

R 031219Z MAY 75

FM AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 866

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

CONFIDENTIAL COPENHAGEN 1285

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PFOR XC DA

SUBJ: PARLIAMENTARY DEBATE ON SOUTHEAST ASIA

REF: A. COPENHAGEN 1185; B. COPENHAGEN 1245;

C. COPENHAGEN 1264

SUMMARY: THE MAY 2 DEBATE IN PARLIAMENT REGARDING THE FOREIGN MINISTER'S APRIL 24 STATEMENT ON SOUTHEAST ASIA WAS UNDRAMATIC. THE GOVERNMENT PURSUED A MODERATE LINE TO APPEASE OPPOSITION PARTIES CRITICAL OF THE PUBLIC REMARKS ON APRIL 30 BY PRIME MINISTER CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 COPENH 01285 050803Z

ANKER JORGENSEN CONCERNING VIETNAM (REFS B AND C). DEBATE

FOLLOWED PARTY LINES FROM THE JUBILANCE OF THE EXTREME LEFT OVER THE PRG VICTORY TO THE GLOOM OF THE RIGHT OVER PROSPECTS OF COMMUNIST DOMINANCE IN SOUTHEAST ASIA. END SUMMARY.

1. DANISH PARLIAMENT (FOLKETING) MAY 2 HAD A THREE-HOUR FLOOR DEBATE ON SOUTHEAST ASIA ON THE BASIS OF THE FOREIGN MINISTER'S APRIL 24 REPORT (REFTEL A) AND A PUBLIC STATE-MENT BY PRIME MINISTER JORGENSEN WELCOMING PEACE IN VIETNAM AND CRITICIZING THE US ROLE (REFS B AND C). THE FOREIGN MINISTER'S REPORT WAS GENERALLY ACCEPTED BY THE OPPOSITION PARTIES AS MODERATE AND CONCILIATORY. THE PRIME MINSTER, HOWEVER, WAS CHALLENGED ON THE ISSE OF WHETHER HIS APRIL 30 STATEMENT WAS SUPPORTED BY A FOLKETING MAJORITY. JORGENSEN'S RESPONSE WAS CAREFULLY WORDED. HE MAINTAINED HIS RIGHT, NOW AS BEFORE, TO BE CRITICAL OF CERTAIN FEATURES OF US FOREIGN POLICY WITHOUT THIS NECESSARILY IMPLYING A REJECTION OF US FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL. HE PARTICULARLY EXPRESSED APPRECIA-TION FOR THE MAIN ELEMENTS OF US FOREIGN POLICY, SUCH AS THE DETENTE POLICY TOWARDS THE USSR AND CHINA. (TEXT FORWARDED COPENHAGEN 1283). JORGENSEN'S STATEMENT WAS VERY BRIEF AND. WHILE HE ATTENDED THE ENTIRE DEBATE, HE DID NOT LET HIMSELF BE CALLED BACK TO THE ROSTRUM.

- 2. THE DEBATE WAS ILL-ATTENDED AND WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS LIMITED TO EXCHANGES BETWEEN APPOINTED SPOKESMEN FOR THE VARIOUS POLITICAL PARTIES.
- 3. FORMER FOREIGN MINISTER OVE GULDBERG, SPEAKING FOR THE LIBERAL PARTY, PRESSED HOME SEVERAL POINTS CHARACTERISTIC OF THOSE RAISED BY THE OTHER NON-SOCIALIST PARTY SPOKESMEN. HE ACCEPTED THE FOREIGN MINISTER'S STATEMENT AS AN OBVIOUSLY CONCILIATORY ONE BUT ADDED THAT THE GOVERNMENT MUST ACCEPT BEING JUDGED ALSO ON ITS EXTRAMURAL, PUBLIC STATEMENTS, REFERRING TO PRIME MINISTER JORGENSEN'S STATEMENT CRITICIZING THE US ROLE. GULDBERG STATED THAT IT WAS UNDENIABLE THET HANOI HAD CONQUERED AND OCCUPIED SOUTH VIETNAM BY MILITARY FORCE AND THAT IT WAS AS YET UNKNOWN TO WHAT THIS WOULD LEAD. HE FOUND IT PREMATURE TO DETERMINE, THEREFORE, DANISH AID CONFIDENTIAL.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 COPENH 01285 050803Z

POLICY UNTIL IT BECAME CLEAR WHETHER A UNITED VIETNAM TURNED OUT TO BE A CLOSED COMMUNIST STATE OR, HOPEFULLY, A MORE OPEN STATE WITH WHICH THE WEST COULD COOPERATE.

4. CONSERVATIVE SPOKESMAN ERIK NINN-HANSEN POINTED OUT THAT THE PUBLIC STATEMENT BY THE PRIME MINSTER WAS NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED FOREIGN POLICY ADOPTED BY A MAJORITY OF THE FOLKETING. HE POSED THE QUESTION

WHETHER THE PRIME MINISTER INTENDED TO ADHERE TO THAT COMMONLY AGREED POLICY OR, ALTERNATIVELY, WHETHER THE FOLKETING WOULD ACCEPT HAVING A PRIME MINISTER WHO, ON FOREIGN POLICY, WAS CLEARLY OUT OF STEP WITH THE MAJORITY. REFERRING TO THE PRIME MINISTER'S CRITICISM OF THE US, NINN-HANSEN SAID THAT DENMARK HAD SHOWN A MINIMUM OF SOLIDARITY WITH THE NATION WHICH MORE THAN ANY OTHER HELPS SECURE DENMARK'S INDEPENDENCE.

5. NO MOTION OF CENSURE WAS INTRODUCED. CROWE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: SPEECHES, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, POLITICAL SITUATION, CENTRAL LEGISLATURE

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 03 MAY 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975COPENH01285

Document Number: 1975COPENH01285 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750156-0636 From: COPENHAGEN Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750582/aaaacxcg.tel Line Count: 126 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Glassification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 COPENHAGEN 1185, 75 COPENHAGEN 1245
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 10 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <15 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: PARLIAMENTARY DEBATE ON SOUTHEAST ASIA

TAGS: PFOR, XC, DA, (ANDERSEN, KNUD BORGE)
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006